

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Examiner is also thanked for indicating claim 9 contains allowable subject matter. The Office Action dated June 23, 2009 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

Claims 2, 11, and 18 are hereby amended. Claim 1 is canceled. No new matter has been added. Accordingly, claims 2-21 are currently pending. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

The Office Action states that the reference submitted in IDS dated August 2, 2006 identified as RU 1011236 is in fact SU 1011236. Applicants agree. Russian Patent No. 1,011,236 discussed at Specification, page 4, lines 22-24 is properly submitted in the IDS as SU 1011236. Applicants submit a revised SB/08 form and a copy of SU 1011236. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider SU 1011236.

The Office Action objects to claim 18 for informalities. Applicants have amended claim 18. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the objection.

The Office Action rejects claims 18-21 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Applicants have amended claim 18 to clearly define claimed subject matter. Applicants, therefore, respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of claims 18-21.

The Office Action rejects claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,217,333 to Loblich (*Loblich*). Claim 1 is canceled, so the rejection of claim 1 is moot.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-8 and 10-21 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application No. 2005/00800303 to Jeong et al. (*Jeong*). Applicants have canceled claim 1, and incorporated all the elements of claim 1 into claim 2. Applicants also have amended claims 11 and 18. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 2-8 and 10-21.

The present applicant is a national stage application of Internal Application No. PCT/KR2004/001068, filed on May 7, 2004. *Jeong* was published on April 14, 2005, well after the filing date of the present application (May 7, 2004). *Jeong* and the present application were, at the time the claimed invention was made, subject to an obligation of assignment to LG Chem. Further, *Jeong* have the same inventors as the present application. Thus, *Jeong* does not constitute art under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) against the present application. Applicants, therefore, respectfully request withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejection of claims 2-8 and 10-21.

Applicants believe the application is in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited. If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Dated: September 18, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By //Matthew T. Bailey//
Matthew T. Bailey
Registration No.: 33,829
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-7500
Attorneys for Applicant

Attachments